

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Please approve of the accompanying Replacement Sheet for Fig. 10 to incorporate the following changes.

Reference numeral "997" for the block labeled "Base Station" should read --993--; and

in block 997 labeled "Client", should read --Customer--.

## REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated July 26, 2005. Claims 1 to 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, 53, 55 to 57, 59 to 63, 65, 67 and 69 are now pending in the application, with Claims 46, 49, 51, 54, 58, 64, 66, 68, 70 and 71 having been canceled herein. Claims 1, 31, 63, 65 and 69 are the independent claims herein. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the indication that Claims 1 to 30 and 61 are allowed.

Figure 10 of the drawings has been amended to correct some typographical errors. A Replacement Sheet for Fig. 10 accompanies this Amendment and approval of the changes set forth above is respectfully requested.

The specification has also been amended to correct some typographical errors. No new matter has been added.

Claims 31 to 60, 62 to 67, 69 and 70 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as allegedly being drawn to non-statutory subject matter because they are not limited to tangible embodiments. The rejections are traversed.

A plain reading of the claims clearly provides that the claims are directed to statutory subject matter. The claims call for various means to perform claimed functions, which clearly fall within the purview of 35 U.S.C. § 112, sixth paragraph. As such, the claims are to be interpreted in light of the embodiments disclosed in the specification, as well as equivalents thereof. The specification itself clearly provides embodiments that include computer hardware (see Figs. 1 to 3, for example) that implement various claimed functions of the invention. As such, Applicants fail to see how the claims cannot be read

on tangible embodiments. Accordingly, the rejections are traversed. Nonetheless, the claims have been amended so as to no longer be in means-plus-function form under § 112, sixth paragraph in response to substantive rejections under § 112, first paragraph as set forth below.

In this regard, Claims 31 to 60, 62, 64, 66 and 68 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph for allegedly failing the enablement requirement. The rejections are traversed since those skilled in the art would clearly understand how to make and use the claimed invention by implementing computer executable process codes as described in the specification and as depicted in the drawings in the hardware system depicted in Figs. 1 to 3. Accordingly, the claims are fully enabled and the Examiner is requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections.

Additionally, Claims 63, 65, 67, 69 and 70 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as allegedly failing the enablement requirement for including a single element to perform every function. While Applicants believe the claims are fully enabled by the originally filed specification, the claims have nonetheless been rewritten into independent form so as to make the subject matter thereof even clearer and so as to provide even better enablement. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Inasmuch as the subject matter of amended independent Claim 31, and now independent Claims 63, 65 and 69, substantially corresponds to allowed Claim 1, independent Claims 31, 63, 65 and 69, as well as the claims dependent therefrom, are believed to be allowable.

No other matters having been raised, this amendment is believed to fully comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 and therefore, entry of this amendment and passage to issue of the application are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicants

Edward A. Kmett

Registration No. 42,746

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-2200  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA\_MAIN 103651v1